Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Secretary, it is good to see you again.

Given the close relationship between powerful

elements of the Iranian Government and several terrorist organizations,

it obviously seems to me that Iran is among the most likely

states, if not the most likely state, that could transfer weapons of

mass destruction to terrorist organizations. I would like you to

comment on that.

Why is it we heard so much about this issue with regard to Iraq

and relatively little with regard to Iran?

Has the rift that has developed between the

United States and other Security Council members relating to our

policy in Iraq affected the prospects for international cooperation

and pressure on Iran? And in connection with that, if you could

talk about what specific proactive steps will the administration be

taking in the near term to foster that cooperation and strengthen

multilateral cohesion.

Thank you.

Finally, the administration reportedly signed a cease-fire with

the MEK in April, and then it changed its mind. Can you explain

why the administration changed its policy toward the MEK in such

a short period of time?

Well, I am pleased to hear your comment

about the impropriety of our signing a cease-fire with a terrorist organization,

something I raised at the time.

Does the ambiguity surrounding U.S. policy toward the MEK

complicate our efforts to demand that Iran act against terrorist organizations?

And what exactly is the status of the members of this

group who are operating in Iraq?

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.